



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/542,223 | 07/14/2005 | Ohl Titu | 101908.56491US | 3093 |
| 23911 7590 06/06/2008 CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| GRAVINI, STEPHEN MICHAEL | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 3749 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 06/06/2008 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/542,223

Applicant(s)

TIITU, OLLI

Examiner

Stephen Gravini

Art Unit

3749

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Stephen Gravini (PTO pers.)

(3) _____

(2) Jonathan Lindsay (appl rep.)

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 04 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: rasanen (US 6,415,527).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The self-supported heat transfer element with a support element fastened (in a rigid or fixed manner, consistent with the specification) would place the application in better condition for allowance because the prior art does not disclose this independently claimed invention. It was discussed that a request for continued examination would expedite prosecution.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Stephen Gravini/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3749

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.